

Object to Proposal

Application number: 14/3732/FUL

Date and time: 11-10-2014 19:38

Comment type: Object to Proposal

Comment:

Dear Mr. Tanner

I object to the planning application and have the following concerns and comments:

1. Having studied the financial information in the prospectus document I consider the costs to be understated thereby impacting on the financial viability of the scheme. I am therefore extremely concerned that the scheme will not prove to be financially viable leaving a disused and derelict structure on what is a heritage site. It is essential that there is a watertight step-in Agreement in place.

2. I note that a number of the supporters do not live in Teddington. I believe that their views should not be considered by the Planning Committee as would be the case if it was a building planning application. This ugly noisy structure will only impact on river users and those who live or work near the weir. It is only their views that should be considered.

3. I do not believe the flood risks have been fully investigated. Is the generation of electricity to 600 homes worth the cost of the possibility of flooding to more than 600 homes and businesses?

4. I am aware that The Teddington Society Planning Group has registered their support albeit identifying matters for further consideration. However, I would like to make the comment that The Teddington Society's remit is to make Teddington an even better place to live and work and also to safeguard the valued riverside. A number of individuals and businesses have objected on the grounds of the noise impact and the impact that this large structure will have on the views at the Lock and Weir. How can this make Teddington a better place to live and work when jobs may be lost and the riverside no longer safeguarded? Teddington has nothing to gain from the Hydro as the electricity will simply be fed into the National Grid.

Yours sincerely

Concerned Teddington Resident and Treasurer of The Teddington Society